



12-23-08

JFW

Confirmation Copy

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Dear Sir,

Please kindly review whether this response to Office Action dated 09/30/2008 may considered persuasive to you. We greatly appreciate your kind suggestion. Thanks so much.

Sincerely yours,

A. Senapati

**Dr. A. Senanarong
Privy Councillor**

**The Office of His Majesty's Principal Private Secretary,
Bangkok 10200, THAILAND.**

Summary of the Distinct Difference of the Present Invention From Prior Arts:

1. None of the Prior Arts teach building up of a cloud mass using powder NaCl; all of them teach causing precipitation from a natural pre-existing cloud using variety of substance. That is the operation results in OPPOSITE outcome. No steps of 'Triggering', 'Fattening' and 'Enhancing' in the Prior Arts using the same chemicals as taught by the Royal Rainmaking Technology.
 2. None of the Prior Arts teach the use of 2 chemicals in combination to seed into specific positions of a cloud mass simultaneously to cause rainfall.



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)
Bhumibol Adulyadej, His Majesty) Group Art Unit:3752
King)
Serial No.: 10/662,145) Examiner: James S Hogan
Filed: 09/15/2003) Tel: 009-1-571-272-4902,
Entitled: WEATHER MODIFICATION) Atty.Dkt.No.Royal001-2003.usa
BY ROYAL RAINMAKING)
TECHNOLOGY)
)

Hon. Commissioner for Patents,

P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, U.S.A.

AMENDMENT

Sir:

Responsive to the Office Action dated 09/30/2008, having a shortened statutory period for response set to expire in 3 months; please amend the above-captioned application as set forth below.